

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/281,717	BAXTER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Marjorie A. Moran. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Richard Bone. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 June 2003

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All*

Claims discussed:

*All pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a series of interviews, the examiner and attorney discussed the restriction requirement, and enablement and written description issues. As claim 1 was found to be allowable, the examiner rejoined claims 34-43 with the elected claims. The attorney pointed to Figure 19 for written description of specific residues found in the coactivator binding site of the various receptors recited in the claims. Enablement is found in the totality of the disclosure of the specification and the general knowledge of the art that the various receptors are known to bind coactivators and that the coactivators have similar properties upon binding. Mr. Bone and the examiner agreed on an examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance. It is noted that Mr. Bone agreed to cancel claims 31 and 51 without prejudice for renewal in a continuation or divisional application.